RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-CORONA-2020-04)
Evaluation Criteria - Peer Review

Please indicate whether you know the PI personally, or whether you have previously encountered the PI's work (for example, at conferences, meetings etc. or reading or citing the PI's work).

Please evaluate by using grades from 1 to 5:

1- Poor

2- Fair

3- Average

4- Very good

5- Excellent

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY AND RESEARCH RELEVANCE	Grade (1-5)
How important are the research objectives in relation to the research theme of the IP-CORONA-2020-04 call and the specific topic of the project proposal?	1-5
Please assess the quality and innovativeness of the proposed research.	1-5
Please evaluate the potential contribution of the project proposal to the IP-CORONA-2020-04 call.	1-5
Please briefly comment the scientific quality and research relevance.	
Total	

FEASIBILITY	Grade (1-5)
Please rate the composition of the research group and the scientific qualification of its members.	1-5
Please rate the relevance of the proposed methodology (including the requested budget).	1-5
Please assess the time schedule of the project proposal.	1-5
Please briefly comment the project proposal feasibility.	
Total	

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	Grade (1-5)

RESEARCH PROJECTS (IP-CORONA-2020-04)
Evaluation Criteria - Peer Review

Please rate the scientific and professional contribution of the PI to the specific research field.	1-5
Please rate the quality of the PI's publications.	1-5
Please briefly comment the PI's scientific and professional qualifications.	
Total	

STRENGHTS
(Please briefly comment by using a minimum of 50 words.)

WEAKNESSES
(Please briefly comment by using a minimum of 50 words.)

Overall Scientific Merit Ranking

Please rate the overall proposal to one of the descriptors provided.

Category	Descriptor
☐ **Excellent**	Of very high scientific/research merit and highly likely to have an important impact on or advancement of research in the field.
☐ **Very Good**	Of high scientific/research merit and may potentially have an important impact on the research field.
☐ **Good**	Of sound scientific/research merit but highly unlikely to have an important impact on the research field.
☐ **Fair**	Has potential but needs further development.